DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 275 652 SP 028 234

AUTHOR Reddick, Thomas L.; Peach, Larry E.

TITLE Career Ladder Level II and Level III Teachers'

Perceptions of the Effectiveness of the Tennessee

Career Ladder Program.

PUB DATE 20 Nov 86

NOTE 10p.; Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the

Mid-South Educational Research Association (15th,

Memphis, TN, November 20, 1986).

PUB TYPE Speeches/Conference Papers (150) -- Reports -

Research/Technical (143)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS *Career Ladders; Elementary Secondary Education;

Incentives; Merit Pay; *Program Attitudes; *Program Effectiveness; Promotion (Occupational); *Teacher

Attitudes

IDENTIFIERS Tennessee; *Tennessee Career Ladder Program

ABSTRACT

The Tennessee Career Ladder Program consists of a five-step classification system in which Level II teachers (who must have taught for 8 years) and Level III teachers (who must have taught for 12 years) are at the summit with the longest contracts and highest pay. This study surveyed 474 Level II and Level III teachers to determine the perceptions of these teachers concerning the effectiveness of the program. The findings of the study are reported in descriptive form by questionnaire item. The results indicated that the teachers believe the program will not necessarily improve education in-Tennessee. Also, they thought that the Career Ladder would not attract more qualified individuals into teaching. However, respondents reported that the program was an incentive to remain in the the teaching profession. Also, most agreed that the quality of instruction would be improved through the implementation of the program. A majority concluded that merit pay would cause morale problems among teachers. Many disagreed that the Career Ladder Program had a positive influence on the overall effectiveness of their school. A total of 70 percent of those responding stated that the Career Ladder Program should be continued. (JD)



CAREER LADDER LEVEL II AND LEVEL III
TEACHERS' PERCEPTIONS OF THE EFFECTIVENESS
OF THE TENNESSEE CAREER LADDER PROGRAM

A Research Study Presented at the Fifteenth Annual Meeting of the Mid-South Educational Research Association in Memphis, Tennessee November 20, 1986

by

Dr. Thomas L. Reddick
Professor of Administration and Supervision
Tennessee Technological University
Cookeville, Tennessee 38505

Dr. Larry E. Peach
Associate Professor of Administration and Supervision
Tennessee Technological University
Cookeville, Tennessee 38505

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

U.S. OEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

- ☐ This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.
- Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.
- Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERi position or policy.



CAREER LADDER LEVEL II AND LEVEL III TEACHERS' PERCEPTIONS OF THE EFFECTIVENESS OF THE TENNESSEE CAREER LADDER PROGRAM

INTRODUCTION

Tennessee is among the several states that have implemented a "career ladder program." The Comprehensive Education Reform Act of 1984 provides supplementary pay for teachers who participate in Tennessee's Career Ladder Program. The Career Ladder Program consists of a five-step classification system:

<u>Probationary Teachers</u>. First-year teachers who will receive state certification after a positive evaluation and a recommendation from their local school board.

Apprentice Teachers. After probation, teachers will serve a three-year apprenticeship and receive an annual supplement of \$500 as an incentive to stay in the teacher profession. They will be evaluated each year by their local school boards. After completing the third year, they must receive tenure and move to the next level or lose their jobs.

Career Level I Teachers. Certification at this level lasts for five years, and teachers receive an annual supplement of \$1,000. In addition to their usual duties, teachers will supervise student interns and probationary teachers. A teacher must have served three years as an apprentice teacher to qualify for Career Level I.

Career Level II Teachers. Certification at this level is for five years, and teachers are eligible for up to \$4,000 annual salary supplements with 10-month and 11-month contracts. A teacher must have eight



years teaching experience to qualify for Level II.

Career Level III Teachers. Certification lasts five years, and the annual supplements are \$3,000 for a 10-month contract, \$5,000 for an 11-month contract, and \$7,000 for a 12-month contract.

Career Level III teachers are evaluated by evaluators employed by the Tennessee State Department of Education. A teacher must have taught for twelve years to qualify for Level III. (Public Chapter' No. 7, First Extraordinary Session of the 93rd General Assembly, State of Tennessee, as Amended by Chapter 829, Public Acts of 1984).

Some 39,000 teachers and school administrators are participating in the Tennessee Career Ladder Program. The focus of the program is to attract and hold high quality educators in Tennessee schools. Pay incentives for those who participate are provided through a three-tiered structure designated as Levels I, II, and III. Over 3,000 teachers have achieved levels II and III receiving salary supplement ranging from \$4,000 to \$7,000.

PURPOSE OF THE STUDY

Differing attitudes concerning the Career Ladder Program exist among teachers in Tennessee. The opinions of those participating are improvement to program effectiveness and future development. This study was designed to measure the perceptions held by Career Level II and Career Level III teachers across Tennessee. These teachers represent those determined most competent in the state. Their unique insights should prove beneficial for the improvement of this program and education in general. The end result should be to assure that Tennessee's youth receive the best education possible.



PROCEDURES

A questionnaire was distributed to a sample of 600 career level II and III teachers in Tennessee. This sample consisted of 200 teachers from each of the major geographic regions of the state. No attempt was made to distinguish between level II and III teachers in the interpretation of data. The return rate on the questionnaires was 79 percent (474 teachers).

PRESENTATION AND ANALYSIS OF DATA

The findings of the study are reported in descriptive form by question
naire item.

Legend: SA - Strongly Agree D - Disagree
A - Agree SD - Strongly Disagree

1. The Career Ladder Program is an innovative effort to improve public education in Tennessee.

2. The Career Ladder Program will attract more qualified individuals to the teaching profession.

 Number of Respondents
 SA A D SD 177

 Percent Responding
 .05 .26 .31 .37

 111.5696 Chi Square
 .0001 Sig.

3. The Career Ladder Program is an incentive for educators to remain in the teaching profession.

4. The implementation of the Career Ladder Program will improve the quality of instruction.

SA Α ח SD Number of Respondents 119 251 92 12 Percent Responding . 25 .53 .19 .02 249.7975 Chi Square .0001 Sig.

5. Merit Pay (Levels II and III) will cause morale problems among individuals in the teaching profession.

SA Α D SD Number of Respondents 172 188 98 16 Percent Responding .36 .40 .21 .03 157.1224 Chi Square .0001 Sig.



6. The career level II and III evaluation procedures have been professional and objective.

7. The Career Ladder Program will improve education in Tennessee.

 Number of Respondents
 SA A D SD

 Percent Responding
 15 232 215 12

 373.4093 Chi Square
 .0001 Sig.

8. Those teachers who have applied for career level status are the better teachers in my school.

 Number of Respondents
 SA D SD 103
 A D SD 227
 91 53

 Percent Responding
 .22 .48 .19 .11

 143.9578 Chi Square
 .0001 Sig.

9. I am excited about my teaching career because of the Career Ladder Program.

10. Adequate information has been made available to me concerning the Career Ladder Program.

SA Α D SD Number of Respondents 261 213 0 0 Percent Responding .55 .45 0 0 483.7215 Chi Square .0001 Sig.

11. The Career Ladder Program has had a positive influence on the overall effectiveness of my school.

SA Α D SD Number of Respondents 40 140 198 96 Percent Responding .30 .08 .42 . 20 113.5105 Chi Square .0001 Siq.

12. I believe that parents understand and support the Career Ladder Program.



13. Teachers on career levels II and III (with increased salaries) should assume a proportionately greater share of school responsibilities.

		SA	Α	D	SD
Number of Respondents		23	163	184	104
Percent Responding		.05	.34	.39	.22
	131.6540 Chi	Square		.0001	Sig.

14. The Career Ladder Program has made me a better teacher.

		SA	Α	D	SD
Number of Respondents		61	192	173	48
Percent Responding		.13	.41	.36	.10
	140.4979 Chi	Square		.0001	Sig.

15. Teachers who have attained career levels II and III should help other teachers to become better teachers.

			SA	Α	D	SD
Number of Respondents			101	366	7	0
Percent Responding			.21	.77	.01	0
	742.9283	Chi	Square		.0001	Siq.

16. The Career Ladder Program has brought about improvements and revision in the curriculum in my school.

			SA	Α	D	SD
Number of Respondents			54	13 3	211	76
Percent Responding			11	. 28	.44	.16
	124.3291	Chi	Square		.0001	Sig.

17. Prospective teachers should be required to pass a competency examination (basic skills) before licensure by the state.

			SA	Α	D	SD
Number of Respondents			95	241	126	12
Percent Responding			.20	.51	. 26	.02
	277.4852	Chi	Square		.0001	Sig.

18. Teachers should be required to pass tests periodically to measure knowledge and skills in their content area.

			SA	Α	D	SD
Number of Respondents			38	157	164	115
Percent Responding			.08	.33	.34	. 24
	84.7679	Chi	Square		.0001	Sig.

19. Those who evaluate teachers should receive special training in teacher evaluation.

		SA	A	D	SD
Number of Respondents		211	263	0	0
Percent Responding		.45	.55	0	0
	485.4093 Chi	Square		.0001	Sig.



20. The Career Ladder Program should be continued.

		SA	Α	D	SD
Number of Respondents		186	146	107	35
Percent Responding		.39	.31	.22	.07
	104.7848 Chi	Square		.0001	Sig.

SUMMARY

The purpose of this study was to determine the perceptions of teachers on Career Ladder levels II and III concerning the effectiveness of the Career Ladder Program. The findings of the study were reported in descriptive form by questionnaire item. The results of the study show that teachers believe that the Career Ladder Program will not necessarily improve education in Tennessee. Also, they thought that the Career Ladder would not attract more qualified individuals into teaching. However, respondents reported that the Career Ladder Program was an incentive to remain in the teaching profession. Also, most agreed that the quality of instruction will be improved through the implementation of the Career Ladder Program. A majority concluded that merit pay will cause morale problems among teachers. Many disagreed that the Career Ladder Program had a positive influence on the overall effectiveness of their school. A total of 70 percent of those responding stated that the Career Ladder Program should be continued.

The Career Ladder Program continues to generate considerable interest and discussion among educators, politicians, and the general public. It will be interesting to observe future developments in the program especially since a different political party will control the governor's office effective January 1987.



APPENDIX



EVALUATION OF CAREER LADDER PROGRAM

STRO	NGLY	AGREE		AGI	REE		DISA	AGREE		s	TRONGLY DISAGREE
	1				2			3		J	4
	The	Career	Ladder	Drogram							
***	Tenr	essee.	Dadder	riogram	is an	innovati	.ve e	effort	to i	mprove	education in
	The	Career	Ladder	Program	will	attract m	ore	qualif	ied	indivi	duals to the
	teac	hing p	cofessio	n.							
	The	Career	Ladder	Dwagwam	:		_	_			
	teac	hing pr	cofessio	n.	is an	incentiv	e to	or educ	ator	s to r	emain in the
				•••							
	The	impleme	entation	of the	Caree	r Ladder	Prog	gram wi	ll i	mprove	the quality of
	inst	ruction	1.				_			•	4
	Meri	t Day /	levele	TT and T	TT\ 1			_			
	in t	he tead	hing pr	ofession	TT) U	as caused	mor	ale pr	oble	ms amo	ng individuals
	The	career	levels	II and I	II ev	aluation	proc	edures	have	e been	professional and
	obje	ctives.									
	The	Career	Ladder	Drogram		:	a		_		
		ourcer	Dadder	riogram	WIII	improve e	auca	ition i	n Ter	nnesse	e.
	Thos	e teach	ers who	have ap	plied	for care	er l	evel s	tatus	are f	the better teachers
	in m	y schoo	1.							- 410	cue secre ceachers
	T am	ovaita	a				_				
	I all	everte	u about	my teac	ning	career un	der	the Car	reer	Laddeı	r Program.
	Adeq	uate in	formati	on has b	een ma	ade avail	able	to me	Conc	erni no	g the Career Ladder
	Prog	ram.					4210	co me	COM	ernin	the Career Ladder
	mb - 4	a									
	ness	of mu	Ladder :	Program 1	has ha	ad a posi	tive	influe	ence	on the	e overall effective-
	ness	OI MY	school.								
	I be	lieve t	hat par	ents und	erstar	nd and su	ppor	t the C	Caree	r Ladd	der Program.
	Teach	ners on	career	levels	II and	l III (wi	th i	ncrease	ed sa	laries	s) should assume
	a gre	eater s	nare of	school	respor	sibiliti	es.				
	The (Career	Ladder	Program 1	has ma	ide me a l	nett4	er tesc	cher		
	Teach	ners wh	o have a	attained	care	er levels	II a	and III	I sho	uld he	elp other teachers
	pecon	ne bett	er teac	ners.							
	The (Career	Ladder 1	rogram k	nae hr	ought she		i mn waaa			revision in the
	curri	culum	in my so	chool.	103 01	oughe abo	Juc .	rmbrove	ment	s and	revision in the
			_								
	Prosp	ective	teache	s should	d be r	equired t	o pa	ass a c	ompe	tency	examination
	(pas)	SK11.	ıs) befo	ore licer	isure	by the st	ate.	•			
	Teach	ers sho	ould be	required	l to n	ass tosts	: na	riodica	.11	.	sure knowledge
	and s	kills	in their	content	area		. F.:21	r TOUTCA	, A	to mea	sure knowleage
	Those	who e	valuate	teachers	shou	ld receiv	e sp	pecial	trai	ning i	n teacher evaluation.
						be conti					
				-09-000 5	rionta	ne conti	.nuec	ı.			



10